

REMARKS

Rejected Claims 1 and 17 were cancelled. Claim 8 was rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the rejected base claim and any intervening claim, as suggested by the Examiner. Claims 2,3,4,5, 10 and 12 were also amended to depend from Claim 8. Therefore, Claims 2-7 and 10-12, are now patentable as they depend from amended Claim 8. Claim 27 was rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the rejected base claim and any intervening claim, as suggested by the Examiner. Claims 18-22, 25 and 29 were also amended to depend from Claim 27. Therefore, Claims 18-26 and 28-29 are also now patentable as they depend from amended Claim 27.

It should be noted that the foregoing changes were made to further prosecution of the patent application. No admission is made that the rejected claims 1-7, 12, 17-24 and 29 are anticipated by Groller.

The applicant gratefully acknowledges the allowance of Claims 13-16 and 30-34.

In summary, it is believed that the foregoing amendments have placed the claims in condition for allowance. Allowance of these claims at an early date is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Katrina A. Lyon

Registration No. 42,821

Attorney for Applicants

LYON & HARR, LLP
300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 800
Oxnard, CA 93036
(805) 278-8855